1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 6 \* \* \* 7 MICHAEL W. KREIDEL, Case No. 2:14-cv-02078-APG-PAL 8 Plaintiff, REPORT OF FINDINGS AND v. RECOMMENDATION 9 CLARK COUNTY, et al., 10 Defendants. 11 12 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff Michael W. Kreidel's failure to comply with 13 the Court's Order (Dkt. #4). This proceeding was referred to the undersigned pursuant to 28 14 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and LR IB 1-4. 15 Plaintiff is proceeding in this action pro se and filed a Complaint (Dkt. #1-1) on 16 December 9, 2014. Because the Court granted Plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis, 17 the Court screened the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). Order (Dkt. #4). The 18 undersigned found that Plaintiff's Complaint failed to state a valid claim and allowed Plaintiff 19 thirty days to file an amended complaint on or before March 19, 2015. Id. Plaintiff has not filed 20 an amended complaint, requested an extension of time or taken any other action to prosecute this 21 Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint that addressed the 22 deficiencies noted in the screening order would result in a recommendation to the District Judge 23 that this case be dismissed. 24 Accordingly, 25 IT IS RECOMMENDED that Plaintiff's Complaint be DISMISSED. /// 26 27 /// /// 28

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the Clerk of the Court enter judgment accordingly.

Dated this 1st day of June, 2015.

PEGGY LEEN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

## **NOTICE**

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States district judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court. Pursuant to Local Rule of Practice (LR) IB 3-2(a), any party wishing to object to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge shall file and serve *specific written objections* together with points and authorities in support of those objections, within fourteen days of the date of service of the findings and recommendations. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the district court's order. *See Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). The points and authorities filed in support of the specific written objections are subject to the page limitations found in LR 7-4.